## DAVID H. ANGELI, OSB No. 020244

david@angelilaw.com

## KRISTEN L. TRANETZKI, OSB. No. 115730

kristen@angelilaw.com Angeli Ungar Law Group LLC 121 SW Morrison Street, Suite 400 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 954-2232 Facsimile: (503) 227-0880

## COURTNEY W. ANGELI, OSB No. 941765

courtney@baaslaw.com

ROBIN BOWERFIND, OSB No. 035949

robin@baaslaw.com Buchanan Angeli Altschul & Sullivan LLP 321 SW 4th Avenue, Suite 600 Portland, OR 97204

Telephone: (503) 974-5015 Facsimile: (971) 230-0337

Attorneys for Plaintiff John Doe

## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

## FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

## PORTLAND DIVISION

**JOHN DOE**, Case No.: 3:15-cv-00617-MO

Plaintiff,

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

v.

THE REED INSTITUTE (aka, Reed College) and JANE ROE,

Defendants.

The parties have agreed, as part of their Initial Disclosure production and anticipated discovery requests, that there will be the production of documents or information that at least one party considers to be or to contain confidential information and/or are governed by the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g ("FERPA") or otherwise sensitive or private, and that are subject to protection under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c).

The parties agree that good cause exists to protect the confidential, sensitive and/or private nature of the information contained in documents, interrogatory responses, responses to requests for admission, or deposition testimony. This action concerns allegations of gender discrimination in violation of Title IX, and accusations of sexual assault and illicit drug use, and includes claims and theories that will necessarily involve inquiry into the most intimate details of parties and nonparties personal and sexual activities. The parties expect to seek and provide discovery which will include student education records protected by FERPA or other confidential or sensitive personal information. The parties agree that the entry of this Stipulated Protective Order ("Protective Order") is warranted to facilitate discovery in this matter and provide for the protection of non-party student records under FERPA, as well as confidential documents and information.

Based upon the above stipulation of the parties, and the Court being duly advised, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

1. All documents, testimony, and other materials produced by the parties in this case and labeled "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" shall be used only in this proceeding, except that this Protective Order shall not restrict the rights of any producing party to the use that party's own documents, materials or information.

- 2. Use of any information or documents labeled "Confidential" and subject to this Protective Order, including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the litigation of this case and shall not be used by any party for any business, commercial, or competitive purpose. This Protective Order, however, does not restrict the disclosure or use of any information or documents lawfully obtained by the receiving party through means or sources outside of this litigation. Should a dispute arise as to any specific information or document, the burden shall be on the party claiming that such information or document was lawfully obtained through means and sources outside of this litigation.
- 3. The parties, and third parties subpoenaed by one of the parties, may designate as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" documents, testimony, written responses, or other materials produced in this case if they contain information that the producing party has a good faith basis for asserting is confidential under the applicable legal standards. The party shall designate each page of the document with a stamp identifying it as "Confidential," or "Attorney Eyes Only" if practical to do so. The Parties acknowledge that disciplinary files are likely to contain sensitive and private information that may be identified as being produced for "Attorney Eyes Only." The Parties agree that such designations will be made and discussed in good faith.
- 4. If portions of documents or other materials deemed "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" or any papers containing or making reference to such materials are filed with the Court, they shall be filed in redacted form or under seal and marked as follows or in substantially similar form:

#### CONFIDENTIAL

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS CONFIDENTIAL AND SHALL NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 7 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY

IN ACCORDANCE WITH A PROTECTIVE ORDER, THE ENCLOSURE(S) SHALL BE TREATED AS FOR ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY AND SHALL

NOT BE SHOWN TO ANY PERSON OTHER THAN THOSE PERSONS

DESIGNATED IN PARAGRAPH 8 OF THE PROTECTIVE ORDER.

If a party is filing a document that it has itself designated as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes

Only" that party shall reference this Stipulated Protective Order in submitting the documents it

proposes to maintain under seal. If a non-designating party is filing a document that another

party has designated as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" then the non-designating party

shall file the document under seal or pursuant to appropriate redactions. If the non-designating

party makes a request in writing to have the document unsealed and the designating party does

not file, within ten calendar days, a motion that shows good cause to maintain the document

under seal, then the Court shall unseal the document. Before seeking to maintain the protection

of documents filed with the Court, a party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to

complete nondisclosure.

5. Within thirty (30) days after receipt of the final transcript of the deposition of any

party or witness in this case, a party or the witness may designate as "Confidential" or "Attorney

Eyes Only" any portion of the transcript that the party or witness contends discloses confidential

information. If a transcript containing any such material is filed with the Court, it shall be filed

under seal and marked in the manner described in paragraph 4. Unless otherwise agreed, all

deposition transcripts shall be treated as "Confidential" until the expiration of the thirty-day

period.

6. "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" information and documents subject to

this Protective Order shall not be filed with the Court or included in whole or in part in

pleadings, motions, briefs, etc., filed in this case, except when any portion(s) of such pleadings,

PAGE 4 – STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER

motions, briefs, etc. have been filed under seal by counsel or appropriately redacted and marked in the same manner as described in paragraph 4 above. Such sealed portion(s) of pleadings, motions, briefs, documents, etc., shall be opened only by the Court or by personnel authorized to do so by the Court.

- 7. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Confidential," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the following persons, who agree to be bound by the terms of this Protective Order, unless additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court:
  - a. Outside counsel of record for the parties, and the administrative staff of outside counsel's firms.
  - b. In-house counsel for the parties, and the administrative staff for each in-house counsel.
  - c. Any party to this action who is an individual, and every employee, director, officer, or manager of any party to this action who is not an individual, but only to the extent necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.
  - d. Independent consultants, investigators, or expert witnesses (including partners, associates and employees of the firm which employs such consultant, investigator, or expert) retained by a party or its attorneys for purposes of this litigation, but only to the extent necessary to further the interest of the parties in this litigation.
  - e. The Court and its personnel, including, but not limited to, stenographic reporters regularly employed by the Court and stenographic reporters not regularly employed by the Court who are engaged by the Court or the parties during the litigation of this action,
  - f. The authors and the original recipients of the documents.
  - g. Any court reporter or videographer reporting a deposition.
  - h. Employees of copy services, microfilming or database services, trial support firms and/or translators who are engaged by the parties during the litigation of this action.

- 8. Use of any information, documents, or portions of documents marked "Attorney Eyes Only," including all information derived therefrom, shall be restricted solely to the persons listed in paragraphs 7(a), 7(b), 7(d), 7(e), 7(g) and 7(g), unless additional persons are stipulated by counsel or authorized by the Court.
- 9. Prior to being shown any documents produced by another party marked "Confidential," any person listed under paragraph 7(c) or 7(d) shall agree to be bound by the terms of this Order by signing the agreement attached as Exhibit A.
- 10. Whenever information designated as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" pursuant to this Protective Order is to be discussed by a party or disclosed in a deposition, hearing, or pre-trial proceeding, the designating party may exclude from the room any person, other than persons designated in paragraph 7 and 8, as appropriate, for that portion of the deposition, hearing or pretrial proceeding.
- 11. Each party reserves the right to dispute the confidential status claimed by any other party or subpoenaed party in accordance with this Protective Order. If a party believes that any documents or materials have been inappropriately designated by another party or subpoenaed party, that party shall confer with counsel for the designating party. As part of that conferral, the designating party must assess whether redaction is a viable alternative to complete non-disclosure. If the parties are unable to resolve the matter informally, a party may file an appropriate motion before the Court requesting that the Court determine whether the Protective Order covers the document in dispute. Regardless of which party files the motion, the party seeking to protect a document from disclosure bears the burden of establishing good cause for why the document should not be disclosed. A party who disagrees with another party's

designation must nevertheless abide by that designation until the matter is resolved by agreement of the parties or by order of the Court.

- 12. The inadvertent failure to designate a document, testimony, or other material as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only" prior to disclosure shall not operate as a waiver of the party's right to later designate the document, testimony, or other material as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only." The receiving party or its counsel shall not disclose such documents or materials if that party knows or reasonably should know that a claim of confidentiality would be made by the producing party. Promptly after receiving notice from the producing party of a claim of confidentiality, the receiving party or its counsel shall inform the producing party of all pertinent facts relating to the prior disclosure of the newly-designated documents or materials, and shall make reasonable efforts to retrieve such documents and materials and to prevent further disclosure.
- 13. Designation by either party of information or documents as "Confidential," or "Attorney Eyes Only" or failure to so designate, will not be constitute an admission that information or documents are or are not confidential or trade secrets. Neither party may introduce into evidence in any proceeding between the parties, other than a motion to determine whether the Protective Order covers the information or documents in dispute, the fact that the other party designated or failed to designate information or documents as "Confidential" or "Attorney Eyes Only."
- 14. Upon the request of the producing party or third party, within 30 days after the entry of a final judgment no longer subject to appeal on the merits of this case, or the execution of any agreement between the parties to resolve amicably and settle this case, the parties and any person authorized by this Protective Order to receive confidential information shall return to the

producing party or third party, or destroy, all information and documents subject to this

Protective Order. Returned materials shall be delivered in sealed envelopes marked

"Confidential" to respective counsel. The party requesting the return of materials shall pay the

reasonable costs of responding to its request. Notwithstanding the foregoing, counsel for a party

may retain archival copies of confidential documents.

15. This Protective Order shall not constitute a waiver of any party's or nonparty's

right to oppose any discovery request or object to the admissibility of any document, testimony

or other information.

16. Nothing in this Protective Order shall prejudice any party from seeking

amendments to expand or restrict the rights of access to and use of confidential information, or

other modifications, subject to order by the Court.

17. The restrictions on disclosure and use of confidential information shall survive the

conclusion of this action and this Court shall retain jurisdiction of this action after its conclusion

for the purpose of enforcing the terms of this Protective Order.

18. Documents subject to FERPA should be produced pursuant to the judicially-

ordered protocol set forth in the parties' Stipulated Order Governing FERPA Materials.

So stipulated:

DATED this  $1^{st}$  day of September, 2015.

ANGELI UNGAR LAW GROUP LLC

By: <u>s/ David Angeli</u>

David H. Angeli, OSB #020244

Kristen L. Tranetzki, OSB #115730

Telephone: (503) 954-2232

# BUCHANAN ANGELI ALTSCHUL & SULLIVAN LLP

Courtney W. Angeli, OSB #941765 Robin Bowerfind, OSB #035949 Telephone: (503) 974-5015

Attorneys for Plaintiff John Doe

## FOLAWN ALTERMAN & RICHARDSON LLP

By: <u>s/Bonnie Richardson</u>

Bonnie Richardson, OSB No. 983331 bonnie@FARlawfirm.com Zach Allen, OSB No. 122729 zach@FARlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendant Jane Roe

## BARRAN LIEBMAN LLP

By: <u>s/Paula A. Barran</u>

Paula A. Barran, OSB No. 803974 pbarran@barran.com Richard C. Hunt, OSB No. 680770 rhunt@barran.com Damien T. Munsinger, OSB No. 124022 dmunsinger@barran.com

Attorneys for Defendant The Reed Institute

The Court has reviewed the reasons offered in support of entry of this Stipulated Protective Order and finds that there is good cause to protect the confidential nature of certain information. Accordingly, the Court adopts the above Stipulated Protective Order in this action.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED:	2 Sep. '15	/s/ Michael W. Mosman
		Judge Michael W. Mosman

## EXHIBIT A

I,, l	have been advised by counsel of record for
in <i>Doe</i>	v. the Reed Institute and Jane Roe, United
States District Court, District of Oregon (Portland	d Division), Case No.: 3:15-cv-00617-MO of
the protective order governing the delivery, publi	ication, and disclosure of confidential
documents and information produced in this litig	ation. I have read a copy of the protective order
and agree to abide by its terms. I understand that	t the Protective Order prohibits me from
disclosing the documents and information further	r without permission.
	Signed
	Printed
	Date